1	
I	
_	

2

3

5

7

8

10

11

12

14

15

16

17

18 19

20

2122

23

2425

26

KING COUNTY, WASHINGTON
JUL 2 4 2017
SUPERIOR COURT CLERK
DEBYA BALEY TRAIL

## IN THE SUPERIOR COURT OF THE STATE OF WASHINGTON IN AND FOR THE COUNTY OF KING

No.: 15-2-05472-4SEA

**VERDICT FORM A** 

THERESA BIGLER, individually and as Personal Representative of the Estate of RICHARD BIGLER,

Plaintiff,

OLYMPUS AMERICA INC., a foreign corporation, OLYMPUS CORPORATION OF THE AMERICAS, a foreign corporation; OLYMPUS MEDICAL SYSTEMS CORP., a foreign corporation; and VIRGINIA MASON MEDICAL CENTER,

Defendants.

We, the jury, return the following verdict:

1. Was Virginia Mason negligent?

YES \_

NO \_\_\_\_

If you answered "Yes" to Question 1, proceed to 1(a). If you answered "No," proceed to Question 2.

(a) Was Virginia Mason's negligence a proximate cause of injury or damage to Plaintiff Biglers?

YES \_\_\_\_

NO

If you answered "Yes" to Question 1(a), then circle Virginia Mason in Question 6. Proceed to Question 2.

1	Did one or more of the Olympus companies fail to provide adequate warnings or instructions for use for the Olympus 180 scope at the time of manufacture?
2	YES NO
4	If you answered "Yes" to Question 2, proceed to 2(a). If you answered "No," proceed to Question 3.
6	(a) Was the failure to provide adequate warnings or instructions at the time of manufacture of the Olympus 180 scope a proximate cause of injury or damage to Plaintiff Biglers?
7	YES NO
9	If you answered "Yes" to Question 2(a), then circle Olympus companies in Question 6. Proceed to Question 3.
0 3.	Did one or more of the Olympus Companies fail to provide adequate warnings or instructions for use for the Olympus 180 scope after the time of manufacture?
2	YES NO
3	If you answered "Yes" to Question 3, proceed to 3(a). If you answered "No," proceed to Question 4.
4   5	(a) Was the failure to provide adequate warnings or instructions for use after the time of manufacture a proximate cause of injury or damage to Plaintiff Biglers?
6	YESNO
7 8	If you answered "Yes" to Question 3(a), then circle Olympus companies in Question 6. Proceed to Question 4.
ll l	Was the Olympus 180 scope not reasonably safe as designed?
20	YESNO
21	If you answered "Yes" to Question 4, proceed to 4(a). If you answered "No," proceed to Instruction after 4(a).
23	(a) Was the unsafe design of the Olympus 180 scope a proximate cause of injury or damage to Plaintiff Biglers?
4	YES NO
2.5	If you answered "Yes" to Question 4(a), then circle Olympus companies in Question 6.

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23

INSTRUCTION: If any Defendant is circled in Question 6, then answer Question 5. If no Defendant is circled, then sign this verdict form.

5. What do you find to be the Plaintiffs' amount of damages?

Survival Claim - injury to Richard Bigler:

\$750,000,00

Wrongful Death Claims:

Theresa Bigler (wife)

\$ 50,000.00

Stephanie Burrell (daughter)

\$ 50,000.00

Cheryl Davis (daughter)

50,000.00

Melissa Gustafson (daughter)

59,000.00

Jeffrey Bigler (son)

s 50,000,00

Proceed to answer Question 6.

6. Assume that 100% represents the total combined fault that proximately caused the Bigler Plaintiffs' injury. What percentage of this 100% is attributable to each Defendant whose fault you found to have been a proximate cause of the injury to the Bigler Plaintiffs?

Assign a percentage to any Defendant that is circled. Do not assign a percentage to a Defendant that is not circled.

Virginia Mason		100 %
Olympus companies		%
	TOTAL	100%

If you did not assign a percentage to the Olympus companies, skip Question 7, sign this Verdict Form, and proceed to Verdict Form B. If you assigned a percentage to the Olympus companies, proceed to Question 7.

25

26

	7 IV Districted
1	7. Has Plaintiff proven that she is entitled to punitive damages from one or more of the Olympus companies?
2	VEC
3	YES NO
4	If you answered "Yes," please answer 7(a). If you answered "No," sign this verdict
5	form.
6	(a) If yes, what do you find to be the appropriate total amount of punitive damages?
7	\$
8	
9	Sign this verdict form
10	
11	Date: July 24, 2017 Mary Dropiding Lynn
12	Presiding Juror
13	
14	(DIRECTION: Notify the bailiff when all verdict forms are completed)
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	

ORIGINAL

25

26

_
7
_
2

5

7

2

22

23 24

25 26 KING COUNTY, WASHINGTON JUL 2 4 2017 SUPERIOR COURT CLERK

DEBRA BAILE

## IN THE SUPERIOR COURT OF THE STATE OF WASHINGTON IN AND FOR THE COUNTY OF KING

9 10	THERESA BIGLER, individually and as Personal Representative of the Estate of RICHARD BIGLER,	No.: 15-2-05472-4SEA
10	Plaintiff,	VERDICT FORM B
11	v.	
12	OLYMPUS AMERICA INC., a foreign	
- 1	orporation, OLYMPUS CORPORATION OF THE AMERICAS, a foreign corporation;	
14	OLYMPUS MEDICAL SYSTEMS CORP., a foreign corporation; and VIRGINIA MASON	
15	MEDICAL CENTER, Defendants.	
16	We, the jury, return the following verdic	t:
17		violate the Consumer Protection Act as to
18	Virginia Mason?	
19	YES NO	
20	If you answered "Yes," please answer	Question 8(a). If you answered "No," then
21	proceed to Question 13.	
22	a. Were any of the Olympus	companies' violation(s) of the Consumer

Protection Act a proximate cause of injury or damage to Virginia Mason?

If you answered "Yes" to Question 8(a), then circle Olympus companies in Question 12

NO

If you answered "No" to Question 8(a), then proceed to Question 13.

YES

and proceed to Question 9.

1	Consumer Protection Act claim (without reduction for any failure to mitigate)?
3	<b>\$</b>
2	Proceed to Question 10.
ć	10 Was Virginia Mason negligent as to its Consumer Protection And alleing
7	YES NO
8	If you answered "No," then proceed to Question 11.
9	a. Was any such negligence a proximate cause of injury or damage to Virginia
10	Mason?
11	
12 13	Proceed to Question 11
14	11. Did Virginia Mason fail to mitigate its damages as to its Consumer Protection Act claim?
15 16	YES NO
17	If you answered "Yes," please answer Question 11(a). If you answered "No," proceed to Question 12.
18	a. By what percentage of its total damages under its Consumer Protection Act
19	claim did Virginia Mason fail to mitigate?
20	%
21	Proceed to Question 12.
22	
23	
24	
25	
26	I .

	I
1	ı
2	
3	
4	
5	
6	
7	
8	
9	
8 9 10 11 12 13	
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	
26	

	. Assume that 100% represents the total combined fault that proximately caused
	Virginia Mason's injury and damage as to its Consumer Protection Act claim. What
	percentage of this 100% is attributable to each Party whose fault you found to have
	been a proximate cause of the injury and damage to Virginia Mason as to its
	Consumer Protection Act claim (without consideration of your finding regarding
	failure to mitigate)?

Assign a percentage to any Party that is circled. Do not assign a percentage to a Party that is not circled.

Virginia Mason		%
Olympus companies		%
	TOTAL	100%

Proceed to Question 13.

13. Did one or more of the Olympus companies fail to provide adequate warnings or instructions for use for the Olympus 180 scope at the time of manufacture?

YES NO V

If you answered "Yes" to Question 13, proceed to 13(a). If you answered "No," proceed to Question 14.

(a) Was the failure to provide adequate warnings or instructions at the time of manufacture of the Olympus 180 scope a proximate cause of injury or damage to Virginia Mason?

YES \_\_\_\_\_NO \_\_\_

If you answered "Yes" to Question 13(a), then circle Olympus companies in Question 19. Proceed to Question 14.

14. Did one or more of the Olympus companies fail to provide adequate warnings or instructions for use for the Olympus 180 scope after the time of manufacture?

YES NO \_\_\_\_

If you answered "Yes" to Question 14, proceed to 14(a). If you answered "No," proceed to Question 15.

1	(a) Was the failure to provide adequate warnings or instructions for use after the time of manufacture a proximate cause of injury or damage to Virginia Mason?		
2	YES NO		
3	If you answered "Yes" to Question 14(a), then circle Olympus companies in Question 19.  Proceed to Question 15.		
4			
5	15. Was the Olympus 180 scope not reasonably safe as designed?		
6	YES NO		
7	If you answered "Yes" to Question 15, proceed to 15(a). If you answered "No," proceed to the "INSTRUCTION" after Question 15(a).		
8			
9	(a) Was the unsafe design of the Olympus 180 scope a proximate cause of injury or damage to Virginia Mason?		
10	YES NO		
11	If you answered "Yes" to Question 15(a), then circle Olympus companies in Question 19.		
12			
13	INSTRUCTION:		
14	Proceed to Question 16 if you answered "YES" to any of Questions 13(a), 14(a), or 15(a).		
15	Otherwise, proceed to Question 20 if you answered "YES" to Question 8(a).		
16	If you did not answer "YES" to any of Questions 8(a), 13(a), 14(a), or 15(a), please sign the		
17	verdict form.		
18	16. What do you find to be the total amount of Virginia Mason's damages (without		
19	reduction for failure to mitigate) for any product liability claim(s) for which you		
20	answered "YES" to Questions 16(a), 18(a), or 12(a). You may not duplicate damages you may have awarded, if any, under the Consumer Protection Act claim.		
21	Economic Damages \$430,000,00		
22	Noneconomic Damages \$ 25,000,000		
23			
24	Proceed to Question 17.		
25			
26			

1	17. Was Virginia Mason negligent as to its product liability claims?
2	YES NO
3	If you answered "Yes" to Question 17, proceed to 17(a). If you answered "No" to Question 17, proceed to Question 18.
5	a. Was any such negligence a proximate cause of injury or damage to Virginia  Mason?
6	YES NO
7 8	If you answered "Yes" to Question 17(a), then circle Virginia Mason in Question 19. Proceed to Question 18.
9	18. Did Virginia Mason fail to mitigate its damages as to its product liability claim(s)?
10	YES NO
11	If you answered "Yes," please answer Question 18(a). If you answered "No," proceed to Question 19.
13	a. If yes, by what percentage of its total damages under its product liability
14	claim(s) did Virginia Mason fail to mitigate?
15	Proceed to Question 19.
17	19. Assume that 100% represents the total combined fault that proximately caused Virginia Mason's injury and damage as to its product liability claim(s). What
18	percentage of this 100% is attributable to each Party whose fault you found to have
19	been a proximate cause of the injury and damage to Virginia Mason as to its product liability claim(s) (without consideration of your finding regarding failure to mitigate)?
20	Assign a percentage to any Party that is circled. Do not assign a percentage to a
22	Virginia Mason
23	40 %
24	60 "
25	Proceed to Question 20.
26	Zaconon 20.

	20. Has Virginia Mason proven that it is entitled to punitive damages from one or more of the Olympus companies?
2	<u>,</u>
3	YES NO
4	If you answered "Yes," please answer 20(a). If you answered "No," sign this verdict
5	form.
6	a. If yes, what do you find to be the appropriate total amount of punitive damages?
7	\$
8	(DIDECTION) Sign this would be form and work all 1 street 1 at 1 at 1
9	(DIRECTION: Sign this verdict form and notify the bailiff when <u>all</u> verdict forms are completed).
10	
11	
12	Date: July 24, 2017 Presiding Juror
13	Presiding Juror
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	

24

25

26